

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,581	07/25/2001	Takahiro Ohnakado	401308	6065
23548	7590 11/20/2003		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW			RICHARDS, N DREW	
SUITE 300	ZENTII SI. IVW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960		2815		

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Required.	, TAKAHIRO c address R ALLOWANG per reply to a	(U XE.
Examin r N. Drew Richards The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence. THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Required Required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Required Required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Required Required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.114.	c address R ALLOWANG)E.
Examin r N. Drew Richards 2815 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shell twith the correspondent to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Required Required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Required Required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Required Required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Required to avoid abandonment of this application.	R ALLOWANO	Œ.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she it with the correspondent THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requestamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	R ALLOWANO	DE.
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Required Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	R ALLOWANO	CE.
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requestramination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	per reply to a	DE.
	lest for Contin	ued
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, we vent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECT 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the aphave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office at (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if time earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n. TION. See MPEP propriate extension priate extension fee ction; or (2) as set	n fee e under forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set for 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE be	elow);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reduci issues for appeal; and/or	ng or simplifyi	ng the
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected	d claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, time canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ly filed amend	ment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but de application in condition for allowance because:	oes NOT place	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues whereased by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ich were newl	у
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered or b) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or append		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-4</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>7,8,14 and 15</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,6,13,16</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examine	r.	:
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		,
		'
10. Other: TOM THOMAS ALTERNATION OF THE EXAMINER	m	

Continuation She t (PTOL-303) 009/911,581

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitation added to claims 5, 6, 13 and 16 as to the first and second polysilicon layers having a different dopant concentration or different thickness requires further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 1-4 over Ma et al. in view of Chang et al. has been overcome by the filing of a certified translation of applicant's foreign priority document.